

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,793	10/17/2003	Antoine Briant	ADI-085CP	9745
21323 7.	590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER	
TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
HIGH STREET 125 HIGH STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02110			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/688,793	BRIANT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marie Patterson	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
, _ ,	 action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-18 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration. r election requirement.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the control of the co	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application only documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/125,057</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/03. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/688,793 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-13, and 15-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-21 of U. S. Patent No. 6,748,677 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: both claim soles or methods of providing the sole elements comprising a receptacle with locking means, cavity elements, and a stud with cooperating locking means as claimed.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,793 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-9, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swindle (6050006).

Swindle shows a shoe with a stud base/sole (12), a receptacle (14) with a first locking means (34) and a stud (18) with an oblong locking member (24, column 3 line 47) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-13, 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Korsen (5638615) in view of either Swindle, Pierce (2192150), or Sullivan (1970254).

Korsen shows a shoe with a receptacle (211) with a first locking means (223) and a stud (229) with a second locking means (223) on a fastening projection (230) substantially as claimed except for the exact shape of the fastening projection. Either Swindle, Pierce, or Sullivan teaches the use of oblong as well as other shapes for a

Art Unit: 3728

fastening projection. It would have been obvious to shape the projection as oblong as taught by either Swindle, Pierce, or Sullivan in the shoe of Korsen to provide a more stable connection that won't easily rotate during use.

In reference to claims 3, 4, and 18, the use of injection molding is extremely well known and conventional method for molding plastic elements in the art of footwear and it would have been obvious to form any of the molded plastic elements in the shoe of Korsen as modified above by injection molding to make the elements easier and faster to manufacture.

In reference to claim 11, see figure 10 of Korsen.

- 7. It is noted that the foreign priority document 10118986 has been received in prior application 10/125057. However foreign priority document 10248482 has not been received in the office.
- 1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Marie Patterson</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,793

Art Unit: 3728

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728